

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/665,899	(09/20/2000	Masayoshi Iwase	10517/74 6300		
23838	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON &	KENYON & KENYON LLP				MERCADO, JULIAN A	
1500 K STRE SUITE 700	EET N.W	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				1745		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/665,899	IWASE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julian Mercado	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		its is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	03 U.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration. 35 is/are allowed. ejected.	application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-23-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 14, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14-16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28-35 are pending, of which claim 35 is newly submitted.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 23, 2004 has been considered by the examiner; documents "F" and "H-N" have been reviewed from the parent application. Please note that the documents presently lined-through have been initialed off on October 25, 2004 and a copy of the IDS indicating consideration of those documents was previously sent on November 1, 2004. The lined-through documents are to avoid duplication of citations upon allowance of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10, 15, 16, 18 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "gas supply inlets" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim insofar as line 10 only provides antecedence for a single gas supply inlet.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the gas supply inlet" in lines 13-14. To the extent that a plurality of gas supply inlets are recited in the claim, it is unclear which one of the plurality of gas supply inlets is referred to by this limitation.

Claims 3-5, 7, 8, 10, 15, 16, 18 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10, 15, 16, 18 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dews et al. (U.S. Pat 3,801,374)

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. Notwithstanding the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection (discussed above), the examiner notes that applicant's amendment recites that the gas supply inlets of separators of adjacent unit cells of the fuel cell

Application/Control Number: 09/665,899 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

communicate with each other to channel the gas. To this extent, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997) Thus, Dews et al. is maintained to teach this feature insofar as gas supply inlets [28] and [34] are poised in separators of adjacent cells. The claimed gas supply inlets which communicate with each other has not been given patentable weight, as such language is construed as a statement of intended use which does not further limit the claim to a particular structure. Thus, this portion of the amendment to the present claims is not considered to further limit the scope of the claims.

Applicant's citation of page 22 lines 1-6 of the specification is acknowledged. The examiner also notes that page 28 lines 13-26 provide additional support for the present amendment. Applicant's arguments for patentability over the prior art appear to be premised on the claimed gas supply inlets communicating with each other via "passages for supplying and exhausting... in the direction of lamination," as disclosed in the cited portions of the specification. At present, however, the functional language do not impart this structural interpretation into the scope of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 12, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28-31 are allowed for the reasons already of record.

Claims 33-35 are allowed. With respect to claims 33 and 34, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the instant invention regarding the number of projections arranged in each of a plurality of

Art Unit: 1745

regions successively decreasing across at least three regions. Claim 35 is allowed in view of its dependency from allowed claim 11.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER